

Full research in scientific journal PharmaNutrition: <a href="https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2213434424000069">https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2213434424000069</a>

Highlights:

- We investigated the validation and efficacy of Nutri-Score versus author affiliation and study outcome and found a large publication bias
- The large majority of studies that support Nutri-Score are carried out by the developers of Nutri-Score
- The majority of studies that are carried out independently from the developers of Nutri-Score showed unfavourable results
- There is no real-life evidence of any beneficial effects of Nutri-Score on the multinutrient algorithm FSA-NPS
- There is insufficient scientific evidence to support the use of Nutri-Score as an effective public health tool
- More research is needed to substantiate or disprove the effectiveness of Nutri-Score

Graphical abstract:

## Publication bias and Nutri-Score. A complete literature review of the substantiation of the effectiveness of the front-of-pack logo Nutri-Score.

Number of scientific papers that describe favourable or unfavourable outcomes of Nutri-Score related to wether authors are or are not affiliated with Nutri-Score developers:

NU	TRI	-SC	ORE	
A	В	С	D	E

Charles Martin Courses	Authors affiliated		Authors not affiliated	
Studies Nutri-Score	Favourable	Not favourable	Favourable	Not favourable
Algorithm in line with food-based dietary guidelines	5	0	2	4
Sufficient dispersion within product groups	3	0	0	3
Score is related to theoretical health effect	13	0	6	0
Logo is understood and liked	3	0	1	7
Ability to choose best out of 3	19	0	7	2
Effect in online supermarket	2	3	0	1
Effect in real-life supermarket	1	0	2	3
Effects on FSAm-NPS	6	1	0	0
Other reviews (efficacy, validation etc.)	0	0	1	10

or are not affiliated with Nutri-Score developers: Authors affiliated Favourable Not favourable

Papers by authors that are



Conclusion: There is insufficient scientific evidence to support the use of Nutri-Score as an effective public health tool. Overall, the available evidence is limited and biased.

Stephan Peters, Hans Verhagen, 2024.